

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/624,345	07/22/2003	Gregory J. Petras	WC01520-2	9776		
28548 7	28548 7590 01/04/2005			EXAMINER		
	LAW OFFICES, LTD	ALI, MOHAMMAD				
3113 NORTH PHOENIX, A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2167			
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	nN.	Applicant(s)	<del> </del>			
Office Action Summary		10/624,34	.5	PETRAS ET AL.				
		Examin r		Art Unit				
		Mohamma	id Ali	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears n the c ver sheet with the corresp ndence address								
Period fo	• •	•						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no eve 1. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and wi tatute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 July 2003.	•					
·								
3)								
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the applica	tion						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
-								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election req	uirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Pri rity ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
• —	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			(-) - ()				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	fied copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	nt(s)		<u> </u>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE			atent Application (PT)	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/624,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

## Detailed Acti n

1. This communication is in response to the application filed on July 22, 2003

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-13, 30-34 and 64-85 drawn to a system describing a database subject using a plurality of natural-language terms, each of such plurality of natural-language terms having relevance to the subject according to an involved subset of such populations of users, classified in class 707, subclass 4
- II. Claims 14-29 are drawn to directed to a business system for developer of web computer systems to provide computer systems to operate essentially without customer website management, classified in class 705, subclass 51.
- III. Claims 35-63 are drawn to an Internet website client-server computer system in a set of natural-language terms to be rated as to relevancy of each subject, classified in class 704, subclass 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. Because a database subject using a plurality of natural-language terms, each of such plurality of natural-language terms having relevance to the subject according to an involved subset of such populations of users is different a business system for developer of web computer systems to provide computer systems to operate essentially without customer website management. And an Internet

Art Unit: 2167

website client-server computer system in a set of natural-language terms to be rated as to relevancy of each subject is different from the groups I and II. In the instant case, each of the respective inventions have separately utility as in a system not having the others. See MPEP § 806.05(d).I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Attorney. Martin L. Stoneman (Reg. No. 18,147) on December 21, 2004 to request and oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include and election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## The response time for such action is one month (30 days).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors in no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30 am-6:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad Ali Primary Examiner Art Unit 2167

MA December 22, 2004